



# LOWER MINNESOTA RIVER WATERSHED DISTRICT

## Executive Summary for Action

Lower Minnesota River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting

Wednesday, September 21, 2022

### **Agenda Item**

**Item 6. K. – MPCA Soil Reference Values**

### **Prepared By**

Linda Loomis, Administrator

### **Summary**

At the LMRWD Board of Managers meeting, the Board authorized Barr Engineering to prepare a report for the Board about the impacts of the recently released MPCA Soil Reference Values. Barr has completed its report and it is attached for the Board's review. Staff will use the recommendations from the report to update the District's Dredge Material Management Plan

### **Attachments**

Technical Memorandum – MPCA Soil Criteria Review for LMRWD

### **Recommended Action**

No action is required at this time

## Technical Memorandum

**To:** Della Young, Young Environmental Consulting Group  
**From:** Jenni Brekken  
**Subject:** MPCA Soil Criteria Review for LMRWD  
**Date:** August 25, 2022  
**Project:** Lower Minnesota River Watershed District Soil Criteria Review  
**c:** Karen Chandler

The Lower Minnesota River Watershed District (LMRWD) manages dredged sediments from the Minnesota River and from other ponds or surface waters. As part of this activity, an evaluation of the material is needed to determine the appropriate disposal or reuse of the materials based on Minnesota Best Management Practices (BMP) documents and other federal, state or local regulations. Assessment of chemical contamination in dredged sediments is part of the BMPs and impacts whether the material may be reused as fill, may have a restricted reuse, or requires landfill disposal. For this assessment, sediment chemical concentrations are compared to current Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) Soil Reference Values (SRVs). The MPCA recently provided a substantive update to their methods for developing SRVs in 2021 and in May 2022 followed with an annual update to their SRVs (MPCA, 2021 and 2022a/b).

The MPCA also recently issued a per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) Monitoring Plan, outlining specific programs and facilities that will incorporate analysis for PFAS as part of the regulatory program. The MPCA's PFAS monitoring programs may also impact decisions regarding reuse of dredged sediments.

This memo describes how the SRVs are typically used in evaluating dredge materials, summarizes the recent SRV updates (in 2021 and 2022), and provides an assessment of how these changes may impact LMRWD activities or operations. In addition, Barr is providing a review of the MPCA PFAS Monitoring Plan including a discussion of whether PFAS analysis of sediments may be required and the potential impacts to LMRWD.

### 1 Soil Reference Values Overview

The SRVs are a screening tool used to evaluate potential human health risks from exposure to contaminated soils by comparing chemical concentrations in soil to the SRVs. They are derived using USEPA methodology for assessing human health risk and are based on conservative assumptions designed to be protective of the most vulnerable receptors and cover multiple soil exposure pathways, including inhalation of dust, ingestion, dermal contact and inhalation of vapors for both cancer and non-cancer risks. SRVs are developed using exposure assumptions based on different land use categories (e.g., the assumed duration and quantity of exposure to the soil is different for a residential use property versus

an industrial use property). Currently, the MPCA has published SRVs for two different land use categories: 1) residential/recreational (e.g., single family homes; multi-family housing; long-term care facilities, hospitals, churches, schools, sports fields, etc.) and 2) commercial/industrial (warehouses, offices, manufacturing facility, restaurants, hotels, etc.)

The MPCA has several programs where SRVs are applied, including brownfields, petroleum leak sites, closed landfills, superfund, management of dredged sediments, management of stormwater pond sediments, and for evaluating offsite reuse of excess fill from a development or construction project. For evaluating whether dredged sediments or soils are suitable for reuse on other sites, the residential/recreations SRVs (formerly referred to as "Tier 1" SRVs), are applied, which are lower and more conservative than commercial/industrial SRVs.

The SRVs are provided by the MPCA in an excel spreadsheet format (<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/document/c-r1-06xlsx>), which includes detailed background information on how each SRV is calculated and the final SRVs for each chemical. This spreadsheet is updated periodically by the MPCA and the revision year for each chemical is noted within the spreadsheet.

## **2 Applications of SRVs to LMRWD Projects**

The following types of projects or activities undertaken by LMRWD may warrant evaluation of chemical concentrations in soils or sediments using MPCA SRVs:

- Stormwater management or flood mitigation projects involving excavation in areas with contaminated soils or sediments.
- Creek or riverbank erosion control or bank stabilization projects in areas with contaminated soils.
- Management of dredge material from the Minnesota River.

### **2.1 Soil Excavation Projects**

For projects involving excavation of soils, if there is no known or suspected source of contamination, sampling and analysis of this excess soil is generally not needed. During the planning stages of an excavation project, an initial assessment can be considered to help determine whether an investigation and chemical analysis of the soils may be warranted. Depending on the site specifics, the initial assessment could involve a desktop review of the site history and uses such as review of MPCA's website What's in My Neighborhood (MPCA, 2022f) and any available historical aerial imagery. If a property transfer is occurring as part of the project, or if there are potential concerns for environmental releases, then more detailed study could be completed that would involve completion of a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ASTM, 2021) that includes broader records review, interviews, a site visit, and a preparation of a report.

If there is documented contamination or recognized environmental conditions indicating contamination is likely present in the soils, soil sampling and chemical analysis can be performed, and the results compared to SRVs. The list of chemical contaminants is selected based on the land use history and suspected type of hazardous substance or petroleum release. In the case where contamination is identified at concentrations above MPCA SRVs for a particular land use, plans for appropriately managing and/or disposing of soils

are needed. These projects may be performed under the MPCA's voluntary remediation (Brownfield) program oversight to obtain various MPCA liability assurances or technical review of reports and cleanup plans (MPCA, 2022c).

Offsite reuse of soil is guided by MPCA's Best Management Practices (BMP) for the Off-Site Reuse of Unregulated Fill (MPCA, 2012a) and the BMP for Off-Site Reuse of Regulated Fill (MPCA, 2012b). The classification of Unregulated Fill includes soils that meet MPCA Soil Leaching Values (SLVs; protective of contaminant leaching to groundwater), MPCA Residential SRVs, and are free of debris and other observations of contamination (MPCA, 2012a). Regulated Fill is defined as soil that has chemical concentrations above MPCA residential SRVs but below Industrial SRVs (among other characteristics). However, the BMP for Offsite Reuse of Regulated Fill (MPCA, 2012b) requires identification of a project site to receive the Regulated Fill and approval by local government and MPCA. Because of these restrictions, reuse of Regulated Fill under MPCA's BMP is rare. In most cases, excess soils with chemical concentrations above MPCA residential SRVs are typically disposed of at a landfill.

## **2.2 Stormwater Pond Dredging Projects**

For management of sediments removed from stormwater ponds, work is guided by MPCA's BMP for Managing Stormwater Sediments (MPCA, 2017), typically independent of voluntary brownfield cleanup program review.

Similar to excavated soils, offsite reuse of sediments dredged from stormwater ponds (MPCA, 2017) is based on whether the sediment chemical concentrations meet MPCA's BMP for Unregulated Fill (MPCA, 2012a), which includes residential SRVs and SLVs. The stormwater pond sediment chemical parameter list for laboratory analysis includes analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), arsenic and copper, and any other chemicals that would be expected to be present in the sediments based on a known release or site use (e.g., from industrial operations on the site). The same site assessment tools outlined in Section 2.1 could be used to evaluate historical site uses and potential for contamination. Stormwater pond sediments that do not meet Unregulated Fill guidelines are typically drained of free-liquids and disposed at a solid waste landfill.

## **2.3 River Dredge Material Management**

The LMRWD manages Minnesota River sediments dredged by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to maintain the Minnesota River 9-foot navigation channel from the confluence of the Mississippi River to river mile 14.7 in Savage, Minnesota (LMRWD, 2013). The dredged sediments are stored at the Cargill East River site, located at river mile 14.2 in Shakopee, Minnesota (LMRWD Dredge Facility). The LMRWD Dredge Facility is estimated to potentially store about 190,000 CY of dredged material at one time. An estimated 25,000 CY of sandy material is dredged annually by the USACE and managed at the LMRWD Dredge Facility. The USACE dredged material is dewatered prior to being taken offsite for beneficial reuse. Approximately 18,000 CY of mainly fine grained silty and clay sediments dredged from private terminals in this stretch of the river are also dewatered and managed at the LMRWD Dredge Facility for a fee prior to being taken offsite within the year (Burns & McDonnell and Young Environmental, 2017).

As one of the LMRWD's main activities is to manage dredge materials from the Minnesota River, the remainder of this memo focuses on dredge material management.

### **3 Minnesota Dredge Material Management BMPs**

The MPCA has two relevant guidance documents for managing dredge materials: 1) BMPs for the Management of Dredged Material (MPCA, 2014a) and 2) Managing Dredge\_Materials in Minnesota (MPCA, 2014b). The guidance indicates the following steps for determining the appropriate management method for dredged materials: perform grain size analysis, evaluate past industrial activities and sources of pollutants, and collect samples for analysis of pollutants likely to be present. If the grain size analysis indicates the material is predominantly sand (only 7 percent is finer than sand and passes the #200 sieve), the material is deemed by the guidance to be unlikely to contain contaminants and does not need chemical analysis. USACE dredge materials from the Minnesota River were previously reported to be predominantly sand (7 percent or less fines) with an average of 1 to 4% silt and clays (USACE, 2007), indicating the material and does not warrant chemical analysis based on the Minnesota BMP (MPCA, 2014a/b). The USACE also reported that materials from private dredging typically tested as having 30% silts and clays, which would warrant chemical analysis (USACE, 2007). Barr did not evaluate grain size data sets from the Minnesota River for this assessment, so we assume for the purposes of this memo that dredge materials are tested for chemical analyses as part of the LMRWD dredge material management plans.

Management of dredge materials originating from the Minnesota River downstream of River Mile 27 (which is approximately two miles upstream of the CSAH 101 crossing at Shakopee) requires a permit under the State Disposal System for disposal or reuse of dredged materials (MPCA, 2014b) if the quantity of dredged material is 3,000 cubic yards or more (MPCA, 2014b).

The Dredge Material BMP defines the following management categories for sediment based on chemical concentrations (MPCA, 2014b):

- Level 1 Dredged Material is suitable for reuse on residential or recreational properties and is characterized as being at or below analyte concentrations for all of the Tier 1 SRVs (a.k.a. Residential/Recreational SRVs).
- Level 2 Dredged Material is suitable for use or reuse on properties with an industrial use category and is characterized as being at or below analyte concentrations for Tier 2 SRVs (a.k.a. commercial/industrial SRVs).
- Level 3 Dredged Material is not suitable for use or reuse and is classified as having one or more analyte concentrations being greater than Tier 2 (commercial/industrial) SRVs.

Dredged material, if not excluded from additional analysis as determined using the grain size analysis described above, is to be analyzed for a baseline list of sediment parameters as well as other pollutants with a reasonable likelihood to be present in the dredged material based on an evaluation of past

industrial activities. The lists of baseline sediment parameters and additional sediment parameters for which the MPCA has established SRVs is shown on Table 1.

## 4 SRV Updates

The SRVs established in 2009 were applied for many years, with only minor updates or additions as information developed regarding toxicity for select, limited chemicals. In 2014, MPCA published draft revised methodology and SRVs for public comment. Several iterations of draft SRVs were provided and new SRVs and technical guidance were finalized and published in January 2021. Updates to the MPCA SRVs and associated technical guidance occurred in 2022

The changes in the SRVs, comparing 2009, 2021 and 2022 values are shown in Table 1 (residential/recreational SRVs) and Table 2 (commercial/industrial SRVs) for those chemicals on the sediment parameter lists for dredge materials (MPCA, 2014b). PFAS, while not on the sediment list, are also included, and discussed further below. In general, most of the residential SRVs decreased from 2009 to 2021 due to changes in toxicity information, assumptions and default values used for the risk-based calculations of these screening levels. Fewer SRVs decreased for the industrial/commercial land use, and some, including naphthalene, benzo(a)pyrene equivalents and copper increased significantly from 2009 to 2022. Between 2021 and 2022, fewer SRVs changed, but those that did decreased.

Notable changes to the SRVs and technical guidance in 2021 and 2022 include the following:

- Prior to 2021, individual SRVs were published for these four land use scenarios: residential, recreational, industrial, and short-term worker. In 2020, the categories were reduced to two: residential/recreational and commercial/industrial. The MPCA updated their SRVs and technical guidance again in 2022 and has indicated they plan to provide annual updates to the SRVs.
- Calculation of some SRVs based on the risk-based equations resulted in very low values, below either naturally-occurring levels (e.g. arsenic) or typical urban anthropogenic background levels (e.g. benzo(a)pyrene) in soil. For these chemicals, the SRVs were set at the background levels, as MPCA has recognized that cleaning up soil to levels below background concentrations is not feasible or practicable. It should be noted that some background concentrations in soil are also higher than SLVs (especially for metals); use of SLVs to assess contaminant levels should also consider background concentrations in decision-making.
- Previous SRVs accounted for both acute (short term) and chronic (long term) exposures. The 2021 revision separated acute from chronic SRVs for the residential exposure scenario for chemicals with acute toxicity risk. For the sediment parameter list, these include arsenic, barium, cadmium, copper, cyanide and nickel. It should be noted that the acute SRVs for barium and copper are more than an order of magnitude lower than the chronic SRVs.
- The technical guidance for assessing risk from carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs) is assessed by calculating a toxic equivalency to benzo(a)pyrene. There are different cPAH parameter lists published for sediments than there are for soils, but after the 2021 update, both the MPCA soil and sediment guidance documents indicate the benzo(a)pyrene equivalents are to be calculated

using Kaplan Meier statistical methods. When analyzing for PAHs, the correct parameter list, and an understanding of the methods for calculating the cPAH equivalents are required.

## **5 Impact of Changes in SRVs to Management of Dredge Material**

To assist in predicting how changes in the SRVs may impact LMRWD management of dredge material, data from the Minnesota River sediments collected between 1978 and 2007 as reported in the Dredge Material Site Management Plan (LMRWD, 2013) was compared to 2022 MPCA Residential/Recreational SRVs and SLVs to assess whether it meets MPCA Unregulated Fill guidelines (MPCA, 2012) and Level 1 category for dredged material management (MPCA, 2014b). The results are shown on Table 3.

The only parameter above SLVs or the Residential/Recreational SRV was manganese. The manganese Residential SRV decreased from 3,600 mg/kg in 2009 to 730 mg/kg in 2022. Nearly all manganese results were also above the SLV of 130 mg/kg. The manganese concentrations in the Minnesota River sediments are consistent with naturally-occurring background levels in soil (USGS, 2013), and may be partially attributed to the geochemical composition of the sediments or a result of inputs to the river through runoff from soils. While The MPCA recognizes that some naturally-occurring levels of metals in soils are above SRVs or SLVs, the presence of chemical concentrations above these Unregulated Fill screening levels may limit the ability to sell the dredged materials in the private market for beneficial reuse.

A comparison of more recent USACE sediment data, if available, would be useful for assessing the potential for cost impacts to LMWRD for managing dredge material and evaluating if it is suitable for beneficial reuse.

The MPCA has indicated they intend to update the SRVs on an annual basis, so LMRWD should consider potential changes to SRVs in the long term management plan for dredged materials. If sediments are sampled and analyzed for chemical analysis, the data should be compared to the most recent SRVs in determining beneficial reuse. If the material is stored on the site for more than a year, re-evaluation of the sediment data using updated SRVs may be warranted prior to removing the material from the site for offsite reuse. It should be anticipated that projects receiving the dredged soil for reuse will be making comparisons to current SRVs.

Barr is not aware of MPCA revisiting past soil management and reuse decisions at off-site locations based on then-current SRVs/SLVs, but as MPCA continues to adjust their values, there is some risk that past reuse of sediments at off-site locations may come under new scrutiny in the future if testing is conducted as part of a construction or remediation project.

## **6 PFAS Monitoring Plan**

On March 22, 2022, the MPCA published the final version of its PFAS Monitoring Plan (MPCA, 2022). The plan addresses issues identified in Minnesota's PFAS Blueprint (MPCA, 2021), released in February 2021, and responds to public comments submitted to the MPCA. Given the wide-spread use of PFAS over the past 70 years and their persistence, they are considered ubiquitous in the environment. Therefore, to

address PFAS broadly and consistently the MPCA is taking a statewide and coordinated approach across their permitting and cleanup programs as document in their PFAS Monitoring Plan.

In general, the MPCA's approach has been to initiate sampling across select industries and sites, and then develop future efforts based on the results. Looking ahead, MPCA's approach is expected to expand PFAS sampling over time and will result in an evolving regulatory approach as more information is developed.

The plan addresses monitoring requirements under five different MPCA programs:

- Air Program. Selected permitted facilities via emissions inventory reporting and stack testing;
- Wastewater Program. Subset of municipal wastewater treatment plants and industrial facilities via influent monitoring;
- Solid Waste/Hazardous Waste Program. Selected facilities via leachate or groundwater sampling;
- Industrial Stormwater Program. Selected airports, chrome plating facilities, and automotive shredding facilities via stormwater sampling; and
- Remediation Program: Phased program with additional specific guidance forthcoming.

The MPCA relied on a set of North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes to identify facilities that are likely to have used, emitted or discharged PFAS. The monitoring plan ultimately listed over 400 specific facilities in the "initial" phase of monitoring, including 169 manufacturing/industrial facilities, 8 regional airports, 145 landfills/solid waste management facilities, and 91 municipal wastewater treatment plants. The plan notes a differentiation between facilities that may be a source of PFAS (e.g. industrial facilities that used PFAS) and facilities that are likely "conduits" for PFAS into the environment (e.g., waste management, recycling, etc.)

The MPCA's stated intention is to have the monitoring plan "avoid duplication" for a specific facility (e.g., sampling under multiple MPCA programs or for multiple media). However, the plan clearly states that sampling of other media, under additional programs may be required after the initial phase (e.g., results of stack testing may lead to a request for industrial stormwater sampling). The identified facilities began receiving MPCA letters requesting sampling in mid-2022. While dredge material or sediment sampling for PFAS is not explicitly mentioned in the PFAS Monitoring Plan, such activities may potentially follow findings of PFAS impacts in stormwater or wastewater discharges to the Minnesota River.

The MPCA's PFAS Monitoring Plan leverages existing program and permit structures to require PFAS sampling at facilities. Although there does not appear to be an immediate requirement for LMRWD facilities to sample or address PFAS in the MPCA PFAS Monitoring Plan, this may be a future requirement if, for example, PFAS sources are found to be located near USACE or private dredge sites in the LMRWD. Although Barr has not completed an exhaustive review, the following facilities within the watershed are types of facilities that are likely to have used, discharged, emitted, and/or 'served as conduits' for PFAS: Blue Lake Wastewater Treatment Plant, Seneca Wastewater Treatment Plant, Flying Cloud Airport, Minneapolis/St. Paul International Airport, and numerous dumps and landfills (operating or historical).



Note as precedent, that the MPCA has investigated, and found, PFAS impacts in sediments in the Mississippi River (MPCA, 2013). Additionally, MPCA has listed 25 bodies of water in the state on its impaired waters list due to impacts from PFAS (MPCA, 2022e). While there is currently no statewide value for PFAS chemicals in surface water, MPCA has developed a site-specific water quality criteria (SSWQC) for perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) protective of fish consumption in an area around Lake Elmo, Bde Maka Ska, and Pool 2 of the Mississippi River. Specifically, the SSWQC is 0.05 parts per trillion (ppt) PFOS, which is below current laboratory quantitative limits. (<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/waste/water-quality-criteria-development-pfas>). MPCA has acknowledged that such low values (derived from risk-based calculations and modeling) may be challenging to measure and attain in practice, but MPCA has also indicated that permit conditions for facilities that directly discharge to these impaired waterbodies are being evaluated for additional requirements where necessary.

Current SRVs for PFAS are shown on Tables 1 and 2, but future SRV updates are expected to result in lower SRVs for PFAS given evolving understanding of PFAS toxicity and other regulatory trends in other PFAS screening levels.

Another recent development for monitoring PFAS is the emerging concept of world-wide background concentrations of PFAS which is being monitored in rainfall and surface soils across widely distributed areas and land uses. As this concept advances, it may be another factor in distinguishing PFAS sources from specific industries verses baseline or background concentrations that are more ubiquitous. We are not aware that MPCA has developed a current position on this concept, but Barr believes it will emerge as a topic of interest as more PFAS data is collected across the state and beyond.

Given the airports, wastewater treatment plants and solid waste disposal and recycling facilities in the watershed, there is potential for PFAS to have been discharged to the Minnesota River through overland stormwater flow or direct discharges. The PFAS identified in the Mississippi River sediments is also indicative of potential PFAS presence upstream in the Minnesota River sediments. Given the general decreasing trends in PFAS regulatory criteria and screening levels, and the increase in monitoring across various Minnesota programs, it is likely that sampling of Minnesota River sediments for PFAS analysis may follow other monitoring programs. Due to the ubiquitous nature of PFAS and the persistence of these compounds in the environment, sampling of Minnesota River sediments may identify PFAS, and given the general decreasing trend in PFAS criteria, options for beneficial reuse of dredged materials may become more limited due to difficulty in meeting the increasingly lower PFAS SRVs. Presence of PFAS in dredged materials stored at the LMWRD Dredge Facility may also require controls to address runoff from stockpiles and leachate to the surrounding soil and groundwater and river.

**Attachments:**

Table 1 – Summary of MPCA Residential/Recreational Soil Reference Value Changes, 2009 – 2022, Sediment Parameter List and PFAS

Table 2 – Summary of MPCA Commercial/Industrial Soil Reference Value Changes, 2009 – 2022, Sediment Parameter List and PFAS

Table 3 – Minnesota River Sediment Chemical Data

## 7 References

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 2021. International Method E1527-21 (*Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process*).
- Burns & McDonnell and Young Environmental Consulting Group, LLC, 2017. Memorandum to Linda Loomis, Administrator, LMRWD regarding Estimate of Probable Cost, Cargill East River (MN-14.2 RMP) Dredge Material Site.  
[http://www.lowermnrivewd.org/application/files/9315/3624/4534/Final Revised Tech Memo for Dredge Site Cost Analysis 02152017.pdf](http://www.lowermnrivewd.org/application/files/9315/3624/4534/Final_Revised_Tech_Memo_for_Dredge_Site_Cost_Analysis_02152017.pdf)
- Lower Minnesota River Watershed District (LMRWD), 2013. Cargill East River (MN – 14.2 RMP) Dredge Material Site Management Plan. January 2013.  
[http://www.lowermnrivewd.org/application/files/5414/9686/3935/Final DredgeMaterial Site Mgmt Plan Revised .pdf](http://www.lowermnrivewd.org/application/files/5414/9686/3935/Final_DredgeMaterial_Site_Mgmt_Plan_Revised.pdf)
- MPCA, 2012a. Best Management Practices for the Off-Site Reuse of Unregulated Fill. February, 2012.  
<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-rem1-01.pdf>
- MPCA, 2012b. Best Management Practices for the Off-Site Reuse of Regulated Fill. March, 2012.  
<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-rem2-02.pdf>
- MPCA, 2013. Perfluorochemicals in Mississippi River Pool 2: 2012 Update. May, 2013.  
<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-pfc1-21.pdf>
- MPCA, 2014a. Best Management Practices for the Management of Dredged Material. wq-gen2-02. March, 2014. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-gen2-02.pdf>
- MPCA, 2014b. Managing Dredge Materials in the State of Minnesota. wq-gen2-01. April, 2014  
<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-gen2-01.pdf>
- MPCA, 2017. Managing Stormwater Sediment Best Management Practices Guidance. wq-strm4-16. May, 2017. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-strm4-16.pdf>
- MPCA, 2021. Minnesota’s PFAS Blueprint. February, 2021.  
<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/p-gen1-22.pdf>
- MPCA, 2022a. Soil Reference Value Technical Support Document. April 2022.  
<https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-r1-05.pdf>
- MPCA, 2022b. Soil Reference Values. April, 2022. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-r1-06.xlsx>
- MPCA, 2022c. Brownfield Program Services. July, 2022. [Brownfield Program Services \(state.mn.us\)](https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/brownfield-program-services.pdf)
- MPCA, 2022d. PFAS Monitoring Plan. March, 2022. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/p-gen1-22b.pdf>
- MPCA, 2022e. Website referenced: <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/pfos-impairments>

**To:** Della Young, Young Environmental Consulting Group  
**From:** Jenni Brekken  
**Subject:** MPCA Soil Criteria Review for LMRWD  
**Date:** August 25, 2022  
**Page:** 10

---

MPCA 2022f. What's in My Neighborhood? Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

<http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/data/wimn-whats-in-my-neighborhood/whats-in-my-neighborhood.html>

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 2007. Minnesota River 9-Foot Channel Project Dredged Material Management Plan/Environmental Assessment. Minnesota River Above I-35 Bridge. March 2007.

[https://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Portals/57/docs/Navigation/River%20Resource%20Forum/MN\\_River\\_DMMP\\_2007\\_Final.pdf](https://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Portals/57/docs/Navigation/River%20Resource%20Forum/MN_River_DMMP_2007_Final.pdf)

USGS, 2013. Geochemical and mineralogical data for soils of the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 801, 19 p., <http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/801/>.

Table 1  
Summary of MPCA Residential/Recreational Soil Reference Value Revisions, 2009 - 2022  
Sediment Parameter List and PFAS

Chemical	Baseline Sediment Parameter List	Additional Sediment Parameter List	CAS No.	Most Recent SRV Revision Year	2021 Res/Rec Acute SRV* (mg/kg)	2022 Res/Rec Acute SRV (mg/kg)	Comparison Acute SRVs: 2022 to 2021 (% change)	2009 Residential SRV (mg/kg)	2021 Res/Rec Chronic SRV (mg/kg)	2022 Res/Rec Chronic SRV (mg/kg)	Comparison: Chronic SRVs 2022 to 2009 (% change)	Comparison: Chronic SRVs 2022 to 2021 (% change)
<b>Inorganics</b>												
Arsenic	X		7440-38-2	2016	9	9	0%	9	9	9	0%	0%
Barium		X	7440-39-3	2022	250	260	4%	1100	3000	3100	182%	3%
Cadmium	X		7440-43-9	2016	8.8	9.1	3%	25	1.6	1.6	-94%	0%
Chromium III	X		16065-83-1	2016				44000	23000	23000	-48%	0%
Chromium VI	X		18540-29-9	2022				87	11	2.3	-97%	-79%
Copper	X		7440-50-8	2016	110	120	9%	100	2200	2200	2100%	0%
Cyanide		X	57-12-5	2016	7.1	7.3	3%	60	13	13	-78%	0%
Lead	X		7439-92-1	2022				300	300	200	-33%	-33%
Manganese		X	7439-96-5	2022				3600	2100	730	-80%	-65%
Mercury (inorganic)	X		7439-97-6	2022				0.5	3.1	2.7	440%	-13%
Nickel	X		various	2016	250	260	4%	560	170	170	-70%	0%
Selenium	X		7782-49-2	2022				160	77	78	-51%	1%
Zinc (except zinc phosphide)	X		7440-66-6	2022				8700	4600	4700	-46%	2%
<b>Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances</b>												
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS)			375-73-5	2022						1.1		
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)			375-22-4	2022				77		49	-36%	
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)			1763-23-1	2019				2.1	0.041	0.041	-98%	0%
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)			335-67-1	2019				2.1	0.24	0.24	-89%	0%
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS)			355-46-4	2019					0.13	0.13		0%
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)			307-24-4	2022						1.9		
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons</b>												
Acenaphthene		X	83-32-9	2022				1200	450	460	-62%	2%
Anthracene		X	120-12-7	2021				7880	2800	2800	-64%	0%
Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP equivalents)		X	50-32-8	2019				2	2	2	0%	0%
Fluorene		X	86-73-7	2021				850	390	390	-54%	0%
Naphthalene		X	91-20-3	2016				81	81	710%	0%	
Pyrene		X	129-00-0	2021				890	220	220	-75%	0%
Quinoline		X	91-22-5	2016				4	1.4	1.4	-65%	0%
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>												
PCBs (Polychlorinated Biphenyls)	X		1336-36-3	2022				1.2	0.81	0.82	-32%	1%
<b>Pesticides</b>												
Aldrin		X	309-00-2	2016				1	0.45	0.45	-55%	0%
Chlordane		X	12789-03-6	2022				13	9.5	9.6	-26%	1%
4,4-DDD (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane)		X	72-54-8	2016				56	19	19	-66%	0%
4,4-DDE		X	72-55-9	2022				40	22	23	-43%	5%
4,4-DDT		X	50-29-3	2022				15	7.3	7.4	-51%	1%
Dieldrin		X	60-57-1	2016				0.8	0.11	0.11	-86%	0%
Endrin		X	72-20-8	2016				8	4	4	-50%	0%
Heptachlor		X	76-44-8	2016				2	1.6	1.6	-20%	0%
gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma-BHC, Lindane)		X	58-89-9	2022				9	4.3	0.15	-98%	-97%
Toxaphene		X	8001-35-2	2022				13	4.1	1.2	-91%	-71%
<b>Dioxins and Furans</b>												
TCDD (2,3,7,8-) (2,3,7,8 TCDD equivalents, 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin)		X	1746-01-6	2021				0.00002	0.000007	0.000007	-65%	0%

\* Acute SRV = Acute SRVs are published for select parameters. No Acute SRVs were established in 2009.

X = Baseline and Additional Sediment Parameter Lists from Managing Dredge Materials in the State of Minnesota. wq-gen2-01. April, 2014. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-gen2-01.pdf>

See the MPCA SRV spreadsheet for a complete list of SRVs and detailed footnotes. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-r1-06.xlsx>

Table 2  
Summary of MPCA Commercial/Industrial Soil Reference Value Revisions, 2009 - 2022  
Sediment Parameter List and PFAS

Chemical	CAS No.	SRV Revision Year	Baseline Sediment Parameter List	Additional Sediment Parameter List	2009 Industrial SRV (mg/kg)	2021 Com/Ind Chronic SRV (mg/kg)	2022 Com/Ind Chronic SRV (mg/kg)	Comparison of 2022 SRV to 2009 SRV (% change)	Comparison of 2022 SRV to 2021 SRV (% change)
<b>Inorganics</b>									
Arsenic	7440-38-2	2016	X		20	9	9	-55%	0%
Barium	7440-39-3	2021		X	18000	41000	41000	128%	0%
Cadmium	7440-43-9	2016	X		200	23	23	-89%	0%
Chromium III	16065-83-1	2016	X		100000	100000	100000	0%	0%
Chromium VI	18540-29-9	2021	X		650	62	62	-90%	0%
Copper	7440-50-8	2016	X		9000	33000	33000	267%	0%
Cyanide	57-12-5	2016		X	5000	190	190	-96%	0%
Lead	7439-92-1	2022	X		700	700	460	-34%	-34%
Manganese	7439-96-5	2022		X	8100	26000	10000	23%	-62%
Mercury (inorganic)	7439-97-6	2016	X		1.5	3.1	3.1	107%	0%
Nickel	various	2016	X		2500	2600	2600	4%	0%
Selenium	7782-49-2	2016	X		1300	1200	1200	-8%	0%
Zinc (except zinc phosphide)	7440-66-6	2016	X		75000	70000	70000	-7%	0%
<b>Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances</b>									
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS)	375-73-5	2022				77	15		-81%
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	375-22-4	2022			500	280	250	-50%	-11%
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	1763-23-1	2022			14	0.56	0.54	-96%	-4%
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	335-67-1	2022			13	3.2	3	-77%	-6%
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS)	355-46-4	2022				1.7	1.6		-6%
Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	307-24-4	2022					24		
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons</b>									
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	2021		X	5260	6800	6800	29%	0%
Anthracene	120-12-7	2021		X	45400	42000	42000	-7%	0%
Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP equivalents)	50-32-8	2019		X	3	23	23	667%	0%
Fluorene	86-73-7	2021		X	4120	5800	5800	41%	0%
Naphthalene	91-20-3	2021		X	28	280	280	900%	0%
Pyrene	129-00-0	2021		X	5800	3200	3200	-45%	0%
Quinoline	91-22-5	2016		X	7	7.8	7.8	11%	0%
PCBs (Polychlorinated Biphenyls)	1336-36-3	2016	X		8	10	10	25%	0%
<b>Pesticides</b>									
Aldrin	309-00-2	2021		X	2	2.6	2.6	30%	0%
Carbazole	86-74-8	2016		X	1310	1300	1300	-1%	0%
4,4-DDD (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane)	72-54-8	2016		X	125	100	100	-20%	0%
4,4-DDE	72-55-9	2021		X	80	130	130	63%	0%
4,4-DDT	50-29-3	2021		X	88	87	87	-1%	0%
Dieldrin	60-57-1	2016		X	2	1.5	1.5	-25%	0%
Endrin	72-20-8	2016		X	56	54	54	-4%	0%
Heptachlor	76-44-8	2021		X	3.5	8.9	8.9	154%	0%
gamma-Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma-BHC, Lindane)	58-89-9	2022		X	15	25	2.1	-86%	-92%
Toxaphene	8001-35-2	2022		X		23	16		-30%
<b>Dioxins and Furans</b>									
TCDD (2,3,7,8-) (2,3,7,8 TCDD equivalents, 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin)	1746-01-6	2021		X	0.000035	0.000028	0.000028	-20%	0%

X = Baseline and Additional Sediment Parameter Lists from Managing Dredge Materials in the State of Minnesota. wq-gen2-01. April, 2014. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-gen2-01.pdf>  
See the MPCA SRV spreadsheet for a complete list of SRVs and detailed footnotes. <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/c-r1-06.xlsx>

Table 2  
Minnesota River Sediment Chemical Data\*  
Lower Minnesota River Watershed District

		Record #	78507	402	301	302	303	78506	401	404			
		River Mile	14.7	14.6	14.52	14.51	14.5	14.5	14.4	13.4			
		Location	Above Savage RR Bridge	Above Savage RR Bridge	Above Savage RR Bridge	Above Savage RR Bridge	Above Savage RR Bridge	Above Savage RR Bridge	Above Savage RR Bridge	AB & BLW CARGILL			
		Year	1999	1989	1982	1982	1978	1999	1989	1989			
		MN Soil Leaching Values (June 2013)											
		MN Acute Residential/Recreational SRVs (April 2022)											
		MN Chronic Residential SRVs (April 2022)											
Criteria Exceedance Key		<b>Bold</b>	No Exceedances	Shaded									
CHC's	ug/kg	a-BHC		700	< 0.08	< 0.01		< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.07			
	ug/kg	b-BHC		2500	< 0.08	< 0.2		< 0.08	< 0.16	< 0.15			
	ug/kg	BHC			< 0.08	< 0.3		< 0.08	< 0.24	< 0.22			
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDD											
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDE											
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDT											
	ug/kg	g-BHC (lindane)		150	< 0.08	< 0.13		< 0.08	< 0.11	< 0.1			
	ug/kg	Heptachlor		1600	< 0.10	< 0.1		< 0.10	< 0.08	< 0.07			
	ug/kg	Anthracene	1300000	2800000									
	ug/kg	Aldrin		450		< 0.13			< 0.11	< 0.1			
	ug/kg	Acenaphthene	81000	460000									
	ug/kg	Acenaphthylene											
	ug/kg	Benz(a)anthracene											
	ug/kg	Benzo(a)pyrene	1400	2000									
	ug/kg	Heptachlor epoxide		280	< 0.12	< 0.17		< 0.12	< 0.13	< 0.12			
	ug/kg	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene											
	ug/kg	Benzo(b)fluoranthene											
	ug/kg	Benzo(k)fluoranthene											
	ug/kg	Endosulfan I				< 0.17			< 0.13	< 0.12			
	ug/kg	Dieldrin		110	< 0.04	< 0.17	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 1	< 0.04	< 0.13	< 0.12	
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDE		23000	< 0.04	< 0.13	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.04	< 0.11	< 0.1	
	ug/kg	Endrin		4000	< 0.06	< 0.3	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 1	< 0.06	< 0.24	< 0.22	
	ug/kg	Endosulfan II				< 0.33				< 0.26	< 0.25		
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDD		19000	< 0.06	< 0.36	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.06	< 0.29	< 0.27		
	ug/kg	Endrin aldehyde				< 0.36				< 0.29	< 0.27		
	ug/kg	Endosulfan sulfate				< 0.36				< 0.29	< 0.27		
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDT		7400	< 0.18	< 0.43	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 4	< 0.18	< 0.34	< 0.32	
	ug/kg	Methoxychlor				< 0.73				< 0.58	< 0.55		
	ug/kg	Endrin ketone				< 0.36				< 0.29	< 0.27		
	ug/kg	alpha-Chlordane		9600									
ug/kg	Chlordane		9600	< 0.20	< 1.98	< 1	< 1	< 0.20	< 1.58	< 1.49			
ug/kg	gamma-Chlordane		9600										
ug/kg	Oxychlordane			< 0.20				< 0.20					
ug/kg	Fluoranthene	670000	210000										
ug/kg	Toxaphene		1200		< 1.98				< 1.58	< 1.49			
ug/kg	Hexachlorobenzene		220										
ug/kg	Pyrene	440000	220000										
METALS	mg/kg	Ag (silver)	7.9	78									
	mg/kg	Al (aluminum)		19000									
	mg/kg	As (arsenic)	5.8	9	9	1.30	< 1.2	1.6	2.2	2.54	1.81	< 1.2	1.6
	mg/kg	B (boron)	62	3100									
	mg/kg	Ba (barium)	1700	260	3100								
	mg/kg	Be (beryllium)	2.7	31									
	mg/kg	Cd (cadmium)	8.8	9.1	1.6	< 0.03	< 1.3	< 0.2	< 0.19	1.18	< 0.03	< 1.3	< 1.3
	mg/kg	Cr (chromium)	36	23000	3.25	3.8	3.9	4.2	28.7	3.82	4.3	5	
	mg/kg	Cu (copper)	700	120	2200	1.72	8.7	2.9	3.3	12	2.04	13.3	4.8
	mg/kg	Fe (iron)		29000			4300	5500	10700				
	mg/kg	Hg (mercury)	3.3	2.7	0.0065	< 0.01	0.015	0.0165	0.031	0.0069	< 0.01	< 0.01	
	mg/kg	Mg (magnesium)											
	mg/kg	Mn (manganese)	130	730	143	254			419	931	263	232	
	mg/kg	Mo (molybdenum)	16	78									
	mg/kg	Ni (nickel)	180	260	170	6.14	7.5	7	7	16.7	8.27	< 6.4	7
	mg/kg	Pb (lead)	2700	200	5.0	4.4	4	4.4	44	6.3	4.6	3.6	
	mg/kg	Sb (antimony)	5.4	6.3									
	mg/kg	Se (selenium)	2.6	78		< 0.92					< 0.93	< 0.93	
	mg/kg	Sn (tin)	20000	4700									
	mg/kg	Sr (strontium)	2800	6700									
mg/kg	Ti (titanium)		40000										
mg/kg	Zn (zinc)	3000	4700	9.47				12.3					
mg/kg	V (vanadium)	4	62										
mg/kg	Chromium, Hexavalent	36	2.3										
PCB's	ug/kg	Aroclor-1016				< 0.24	< 1.98		< 0.24	< 1.58	< 1.49		
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1221				< 0.28	< 1.98		< 0.28	< 1.58	< 1.49		
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1232				< 0.26	< 1.98		< 0.26	< 1.58	< 1.49		
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1242				< 0.32	< 1.98		< 0.32	< 1.58	< 1.49		
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1248				< 0.22	< 1.98		< 0.22	< 1.58	< 1.49		
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1254				< 0.34	< 4.13		< 0.34	< 3.3	< 3.1		
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1260				< 0.32	< 4.13		< 0.32	< 3.3	< 3.1		
	ug/kg	Total PCB's	130	820									
PARTICLE SIZE %FINER	SAND	coarse	3 in						100				
			1 1/2				100	100	100				
			3/4				100	100	100				
			3/8				100	100	100				
		4				100.0	100	100	100	100	99.9456	100	
		8					100	100					
		10				99.8				98	99.7595	99.9211	
		16				99.5	100	100		94	99.3005	99.3583	
	medium	20											
		30			100	98.5	100	100		88	93.9681	92.8675	
		40			98		100	99					
		50				98.5	98	96		93.9681	92.8675		
	fine	60			80				48				
		70					87	79					
		80				84.8				83.0929	68.9342		
		100			16	13.5	58	50	10	10.3533	14.5539		
		140			7	8.5			50	6.36015858	9.9257696		
SILT	clay	200			2	4.8	31	36	34	2	4.39382985	7.1811026	
		270			1	4.5	25	32	1	2.93210559	5.17041208		
		0.20 mm				3.5	11	19		2.14905649	3.62252512		
		0.05 mm				2.1	5	8	21	1	2.09050416		
MISC	mg/kg	Total Organic Carbon											
	%	Total Organic Carb		0.04	0.4				0.03	0.91	1.13		
	mg/kg	Chem Oxy Demand				10000	10580	19700					
	mg/kg	Kiedahl Nitrogen				440	520	740					
	mg/kg	Phosphorus (as P)				290	230	561					
	mg/kg	Oil and Grease											
	mg/kg	Cyanide, Total	20	7.3	13	< 0.20			< 0.20				
	mg/kg	Ammonia											
	mg/l	Ammonia Elutriate											
	%	Moisture				0.2			0.2				
	%	Total Solids				99.8			99.8				
	gVS/gTS	Total Volatile Solids											
%	Volatile Solids				0.41			0.54					
mg/kg	Phenolics, Total												

\* Data table reproduced from Cargill East River (MN - 14.2 RMP) Dredge Material Site Management Plan, Lower Minnesota River Watershed District, Appendix A: Chemical Analyses Data for the Minnesota River.

Table 3  
Minnesota River Sediment Chemical Data\*  
Lower Minnesota River Watershed District

		Record #	304	305	403	78505	306	405	78504				
		River Mile	13.21	13.2	13.2	12.9	12.5&12.6	12.4	12.3				
		Location	AB & BLW CARGILL	AB & BLW CARGILL	AB & BLW CARGILL	Cargill	Cargill Slip	AB&BW PETERSON BAR	AB&BW PETERSON BAR	Peterson's Bar			
		Year	1979	1979	1989	10/17/2007	1999	1980	1989	1999			
		MN Soil Leaching Values (June 2013)	MN Acute Residential/Recreational SRVs (April 2022)	MN Chronic Residential SRVs (April 2022)									
Criteria Exceedance Key		<b>Bold</b>	No Exceedances	Shaded									
CHC's	ug/kg	a-BHC			700			< 0.11	< 0.08	< 0.07	< 0.08		
	ug/kg	b-BHC			2500			< 0.21	< 0.08	< 0.14	< 0.08		
	ug/kg	BHC						< 0.32	< 0.08	< 0.22	< 0.08		
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDD						< 4					
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDE						< 4					
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDT						< 4					
	ug/kg	g-BHC (lindane)			150			< 0.14	< 0.08	< 0.1	< 0.08		
	ug/kg	Heptachlor			1600			< 0.11	< 0.10	< 0.07	< 0.10		
	ug/kg	Anthracene	1300000		2800000			< 0.79					
	ug/kg	Aldrin			450			< 0.14		< 0.1			
	ug/kg	Acenaphthene	81000		460000			< 0.71					
	ug/kg	Acenaphthylene						< 1.0					
	ug/kg	Benz(a)anthracene						1.8					
	ug/kg	Benzo(a)pyrene	1400		2000			1.7					
	ug/kg	Heptachlorepoxyde			280			< 0.18	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12		
	ug/kg	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene						1.6					
	ug/kg	Benzo(b)fluoranthene						3.1					
	ug/kg	Benzo(k)fluoranthene						0.94					
	ug/kg	Endosulfan I						< 0.18		< 0.12			
	ug/kg	Dieldrin			110	0	0	< 0.18	< 3.2	< 0.04	0	< 0.12	< 0.04
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDE			23000	0	0	< 0.14	< 3.5	< 0.04	0	< 0.1	< 0.04
	ug/kg	Endrin			4000	0	0	< 0.32	< 0.06	< 0.06	0	< 0.22	< 0.06
	ug/kg	Endosulfan II						< 0.35				< 0.24	
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDD			19000	0	0	< 0.39	< 3.7	< 0.06	0	< 0.26	< 0.06
	ug/kg	Endrinoldehyde						< 0.39				< 0.26	
	ug/kg	Endosulfan sulfate						< 0.39				< 0.26	
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDT			7400	0	0	< 0.46	< 4.2	< 0.18	0	< 4.8	< 0.18
	ug/kg	Methoxychlor						< 0.77				< 0.53	
	ug/kg	Endrinetone						< 0.39				< 0.26	
	ug/kg	alpha-Chlordane			9600				< 1.7				
	ug/kg	Chlordane			9600	0	0	< 2.11	< 1.6	< 0.20	0	< 1.44	< 0.20
	ug/kg	gamma-Chlordane			9600								
ug/kg	Oxychlordane								< 0.20			< 0.20	
ug/kg	Fluoranthene	670000		210000				5					
ug/kg	Toxaphene			1200			< 2.11				< 1.44		
ug/kg	Hexachlorobenzene			220				< 2					
ug/kg	Pyrene	440000		220000				4.3					
METALS	mg/kg	Ag (silver)	7.9		78								
	mg/kg	Al (aluminum)			19000								
	mg/kg	As (arsenic)	5.8	9	9	0	0	2.7	0.97	1.89	0	1.8	1.16
	mg/kg	B (boron)	62		3100								
	mg/kg	Ba (barium)	1700	260	3100	40	80				40		
	mg/kg	Be (beryllium)	2.7		31								
	mg/kg	Cd (cadmium)	8.8	9.1	1.6	< 10	< 10	< 1.6	< 1.0	< 0.03	< 10	< 1.2	< 0.03
	mg/kg	Cr (chromium)	36		23000	< 10	< 10	8.1	4.7	3.81	20	3.4	2.96
	mg/kg	Cu (copper)	700	120	2200	< 10	< 10	15	1.9	2.18	< 10	3.9	1.24
	mg/kg	Fe (iron)			29000	3800	9700				2600		
	mg/kg	Hg (mercury)	3.3		2.7	0	0	< 0.02	< 0.10	0.0052	0	< 0.01	< 0.0048
	mg/kg	Mg (magnesium)											
	mg/kg	Mn (manganese)	130		730	160	720	56.8	218	242	170	163	154
	mg/kg	Mo (molybdenum)	16		78								
	mg/kg	Ni (nickel)	180	260	170	< 10	20	9.4	< 0.10	7.92	< 10	< 6.2	6.12
	mg/kg	Pb (lead)	2700		200	< 10	20	5.8	2.5	6.3	< 10	3	4.7
	mg/kg	Sb (antimony)	5.4		6.3								
	mg/kg	Se (selenium)	2.6		78			< 1.2				< 0.89	
	mg/kg	Sn (tin)	20000		4700								
	mg/kg	Sr (strontium)	2800		6700								
mg/kg	Ti (titanium)			40000									
mg/kg	Zn (zinc)	3000		4700				12.1	11.1			8.12	
mg/kg	V (vanadium)	4		62									
mg/kg	Chromium, Hexavalent	36		2.3				< 5.9					
PCB's	ug/kg	Aroclor-1016						< 2.11	< 50	< 0.24		< 1.44	< 0.24
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1221						< 2.11	< 50	< 0.28		< 1.44	< 0.28
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1232						< 2.11	< 50	< 0.26		< 1.44	< 0.26
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1242						< 2.11	< 50	< 0.32		< 1.44	< 0.32
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1248						< 2.11	< 40	< 0.22		< 1.44	< 0.22
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1254						< 4.4	< 50	< 0.34		< 3	< 0.34
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1260						< 4.4	< 40	< 0.32		< 3	< 0.32
	ug/kg	Total PCB's	130		820								
PARTICLE SIZE %FINER	SAND	coarse	3 in			100	100					100	
			1 1/2			100	100					100	
			3/4			100	100					100	
			3/8			100	100					100	
		4			100	100	99.4659	99.14	99	100	99.3761		
		8								100			
		10			100	100	99.339	64.29	97	100	98.6943		
		16					98.8504		93	100	96.2073	100	
	SILT	medium	20			100	100		84.45				
			30					96.6491		95		83.8046	99
			40			100	100		66.31	71	99		95
			50					96.6491				83.8046	
		fine	60						33.37	37			39
			70										
			80			92	80	92.6698	6.97			41.9038	
			100					42.5172	5.26	6	42	17.4719	4
clay	140					26.39172056		3		10.74500323	2		
	200			12	46	17.37520712	2.87	1	20	6.81403086	1		
	270					11.90172384				4.65926604			
	0.20 mm			5	35	8.54970672			7	3.29043663			
0.05 mm			2	19	4.54007512			2	2.30048832				
MISC	mg/kg	Total Organic Carbon						< 85					
	%	Total Organic Carb					1.02		0.03		1.11	0.02	
	mg/kg	Chem Oxy Demand		8700	29000					5300			
	mg/kg	Kiedahl Nitrogen		1300	4100			170		1600			
	mg/kg	Phosphorus (as P)		400	510			280					
	mg/kg	Oil and Grease											
	mg/kg	Cyanide, Total	20	7.3	13			< 0.20	< 0.20			< 0.20	
	mg/kg	Ammonia						6.5					
	mg/l	Ammonia Elutriate											
	%	Moisture						25.57	0.2			0.2	
	%	Total Solids						74.43	99.8			99.8	
	gVS/gTS	Total Volatile Solids						0.013					
%	Volatile Solids							0.35			0.25		
mg/kg	Phenolics, Total							1.5					

\* Data table reproduced from Cargill East River (MN - 14.2 RMP) Dredge Material Site Management Plan, Lower Minnesota River Watershed District, Appendix A: Chemical Analyses Data for the Minnesota River.

Table 3  
Minnesota River Sediment Chemical Data\*  
Lower Minnesota River Watershed District

		Record #	307	78503	406	78502	308	78501
		River Mile	12	12.0	11.7	11.5	11.4	11.0
		Location	AB&BW PETERSON BAR	Peterson's Bar	AB&BW PETERSON BAR	Blw Peterson's Bar	AB&BW PETERSON BAR	Blw Peterson's Bar
		Year	1975	1999	1989	1999	1980	10/17/2007
		MN Soil Leaching Values (June 2013)						
		MN Acute Residential/Recreational SRVs (April 2022)						
		MN Chronic Residential SRVs (April 2022)						
Criteria Exceedance Key		<b>Bold</b>	No Exceedances	Shaded				
CHC's	ug/kg	a-BHC		700	< 0.08	< 0.09	< 0.08	< 0.08
	ug/kg	b-BHC		2500	< 0.08	< 0.18	< 0.08	< 0.08
	ug/kg	BHC			< 0.08	< 0.27	< 0.08	< 0.08
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDD						< 4
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDE						< 4
	ug/kg	2,4'-DDT						< 4
	ug/kg	g-BHC (lindane)		150	< 0.08	< 0.12	< 0.08	< 0.08
	ug/kg	Heptachlor		1600	< 0.10	< 0.09	< 0.10	< 0.10
	ug/kg	Anthracene	1300000	2800000				1.4
	ug/kg	Aldrin		450		< 0.12		
	ug/kg	Acenaphthene	81000	460000				< 0.71
	ug/kg	Acenaphthylene						< 1.0
	ug/kg	Benz(a)anthracene						8.4
	ug/kg	Benzo(a)pyrene	1400	2000				9.8
	ug/kg	Heptachlorepoxyde		280	< 0.12	< 0.15	< 0.12	< 0.12
	ug/kg	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene						6.2
	ug/kg	Benzo(b)fluoranthene						19
	ug/kg	Benzo(k)fluoranthene						5.6
	ug/kg	Endosulfan I				< 0.15		
	ug/kg	Dieldrin		110	< 0.04	< 0.15	< 0.04	0.5
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDE		23000	< 0.04	< 0.12	< 0.04	0
	ug/kg	Endrin		4000	< 0.06	< 0.27	< 0.06	0
	ug/kg	Endosulfan II				< 0.3		
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDD		19000	< 0.06	< 0.33	< 0.06	0.8
	ug/kg	Endrinoldehyde				< 0.33		
	ug/kg	Endosulfan sulfate				< 0.33		
	ug/kg	4,4'-DDT		7400	< 0.18	< 0.4	< 0.18	0
	ug/kg	Methoxychlor				< 0.67		
	ug/kg	Endrinetone				< 0.33		
	ug/kg	alpha-Chlordane		9600				< 1.7
	ug/kg	Chlordane		9600	< 0.20	< 1.82	< 0.20	1
	ug/kg	gamma-Chlordane		9600				< 1.6
ug/kg	Oxychlordane			< 0.20		< 0.20		
ug/kg	Fluoranthene	670000	210000				26	
ug/kg	Toxaphene		1200		< 1.82			
ug/kg	Hexachlorobenzene		220				< 2	
ug/kg	Pyrene	440000	220000				21	
METALS	mg/kg	Ag (silver)	7.9	78				
	mg/kg	Al (aluminum)		19000				
	mg/kg	As (arsenic)	5.8	9	0.83	1.43	3.2	1.13
	mg/kg	B (boron)	62	3100				
	mg/kg	Ba (barium)	1700	260	3100			60
	mg/kg	Be (beryllium)	2.7	31				
	mg/kg	Cd (cadmium)	8.8	9.1	1.6	< 0.1	< 0.03	< 1.6
	mg/kg	Cr (chromium)	36	23000	7	3.30	7.1	3.07
	mg/kg	Cu (copper)	700	120	2200	2.8	1.67	12.1
	mg/kg	Fe (iron)		29000				5200
	mg/kg	Hg (mercury)	3.3	2.7	0.13	< 0.0048	< 0.02	< 0.0048
	mg/kg	Mg (magnesium)						
	mg/kg	Mn (manganese)	130	730	235	59.3	160	660
	mg/kg	Mo (molybdenum)	16	78				
	mg/kg	Ni (nickel)	180	260	170	7.32	11.5	6.54
	mg/kg	Pb (lead)	2700	200	< 0.1	5.8	11.6	6.4
	mg/kg	Sb (antimony)	5.4	6.3				
	mg/kg	Se (selenium)	2.6	78			2.2	
	mg/kg	Sn (tin)	20000	4700				
	mg/kg	Sr (strontium)	2800	6700				
mg/kg	Ti (titanium)		40000					
mg/kg	Zn (zinc)	3000	4700	9.29		8.53	13.6	
mg/kg	V (vanadium)	4	62					
mg/kg	Chromium, Hexavalent	36	2.3				< 5.8	
PCB's	ug/kg	Aroclor-1016			< 0.24	< 1.82	< 0.24	< 50
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1221			< 0.28	< 1.82	< 0.28	< 50
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1232			< 0.26	< 1.82	< 0.26	< 50
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1242			< 0.32	< 1.82	< 0.32	< 50
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1248			< 0.22	< 1.82	< 0.22	< 40
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1254			< 0.34	< 3.8	< 0.34	< 50
	ug/kg	Aroclor-1260			< 0.32	< 3.8	< 0.32	< 40
	ug/kg	Total PCB's	130	820				
PARTICLE SIZE %FINER	SAND	coarse	3 in	100				100
			1 1/2	100				100
			3/4	100				100
			3/8	100				100
			4	99	100	100	100	100
		medium	8	95				100
			10		97	99.9173	100	99.89
			16	84	92	99.6276	99	100
			20					99.04
			30		84	98.5519	98	
	fine	40	41	76		94	98	
		50			98.5519			
		60		37		38	64.79	
		70					54	
		80			81.6715		27.25	
		100	6	4	52.1307		83	
		140		1	40.47394665	2	21.89	
SILT	clay	200	2		26.9826311	1	70	
		270			17.59732573		13.16	
		0.20 mm			13.27129692		33	
		0.05 mm			9.16528674		18	
MISC	mg/kg	Total Organic Carbon						< 84
	%	Total Organic Carb			0.01	1.2	0.02	0.18
	mg/kg	Chem Oxy Demand	1950				31000	
	mg/kg	Kiedahl Nitrogen					3700	300
	mg/kg	Phosphorus (as P)						270
	mg/kg	Oil and Grease						
	mg/kg	Cyanide, Total	20	7.3	13	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20
	mg/kg	Ammonia						16
	mg/l	Ammonia Elutriate						
	%	Moisture			0.2		0.1	24.88
	%	Total Solids			99.8		99.9	75.12
	gVS/gTS	Total Volatile Solids						0.013
%	Volatile Solids			0.49		0.29	0.95	
mg/kg	Phenolics, Total						6.2	

\* Data table reproduced from Cargill East River (MN - 14.2 RMP) Dredge Material Site Management Plan, Lower Minnesota River Watershed District, Appendix A: Chemical Analyses Data for the Minnesota River.