

Executive Summary for Action

Lower Minnesota River Watershed District Board of Managers Meeting Wednesday, July 20, 2022

Agenda Item Item 5. A. – 2023 Budget Discussion

Prepared By

Linda Loomis, Administrator

Summary

Staff has been working on the FY 2023 Budget for the Board of Managers to consider. A proposed Budget is attached, and several other documents are included. The Board should review the budget and provide direction to staff with any changes the Board would like to make.

The Levy has increased by \$25,000 and the total budget increased by \$35,000. An explanation of budget lines is included as an attachment.

If the draft Proposed Budget is acceptable, the Board should call a public hearing for the August 17, 2022, Board of Managers meeting.

Attachments

Certificate of apportioned levies from the State of Minnesota FY 2023 Budget apportioned levy detail FY 2023 Budget FY 2023 Administrative Budget CIP Spreadsheet

Recommended Action

Motion to approve preliminary draft of FY 2023 proposed budget and call for public hearing for August 17, 2022

District 060 - Lower Minnesota River Watershed District CERTIFICATION OF APPORTIONED LEVIES PAYABLE 2023

(1) Payable 2023 Property Tax Levy: \$_____

| County | (2) Payable 2022 Taxable Net Tax Capacity | (3) Net Tax Capacity Percent Distribution | (4) Apportioned Payable 2023 Levy (1X3) |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Carver | 7,830,629 | 5.9623% | |
| Dakota | 12,951,845 | 9.8616% | |
| Hennepin | 53,221,471 | 40.5231% | |
| Scott | 57,332,053 | 43.6530% | |
| Watershed Total | 131,335,998 | 100.0000% | N/A |

Proposed Levy 2022

| Apportioned Payable 2022 Levy | 775,000.00 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| One time levy to balance channel fund | |
| Planning and Implementation Fund | 525,000.00 |
| General Fund | 250,000.00 |

| County | Net Tax Capacity % Distribution | Apportioned Payable 2022 Levy |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Carver | 5.9623% | 46,207.83 |
| Dakota | 9.8616% | 76,427.40 |
| Hennepin | 40.5231% | 314,054.03 |
| Scott | 43.6530% | 338,310.75 |
| Watershed Total | 100.0000% | 775,000.00 |

| _ | | _ | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
|----------|--|----|-------------------------|-------|--|----|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | Account | 2 | 021 Adopted | | 2021 Actual | - | 2022 Adopted | | 2022 YTD | Р | rojected 2022 | Pr | oposed 2023 |
| | Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | General Property Tax | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| 1 | Carver County | \$ | 42,871.42 | \$ | 43,099.02 | \$ | 41,762.17 | \$ | 23,064.12 | \$ | 41,762.17 | \$ | 46,207.83 |
| 3 | Dakota County Hennepin County | \$ | 72,959.65 318,293.13 | \$ | 71,141.02 313,086.32 | | 72,153.45 306,964.28 | \$ | 37,957.79 158,373.12 | \$ | 72,153.45 306,964.28 | \$ | 76,427.40 314,054.03 |
| 4 | Scott County | \$ | 290,875.80 | \$ | 207,976.91 | \$ | 304,120.10 | \$ | 160,347.34 | \$ | 304,120.10 | \$ | 338,310.75 |
| | Total Levy: | \$ | 725,000.00 | \$ | 635,303.27 | \$ | 725,000.00 | \$ | 379,742.37 | \$ | 725,000.00 | \$ | 775,000.00 |
| 5 | Interest Income | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 6 | MCES WOMP Grant | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 7 | State of MN Grant for Dredge Material Management | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 |
| 8 | Metro-Area Watershed Based funding grants | \$ | - | \$ | 63,866.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 9 | License Revenue from placement of dredge | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 11,406.00 | \$ | 29,036.00 | \$ | 25,000.00 |
| 10 | Revenues from sale of dredge material | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | F 500.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 19,094.25 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 11 | Permit Fees Miscellaneous Income | \$ | - | \$ | 5,500.00 252.15 | \$ | | | 19,094.25 | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - |
| | | | | Ť | | Ĺ | | | | Ė | | | |
| | Total Revenues: | \$ | 1,000,000.00 | | \$949,421.42 | | \$1,000,000.00 | | \$655,242.62 | \$ | 1,005,036.00 | \$ | 1,050,000.00 |
| - | Expenses: | \$ | 350 000 00 | | 300 000 33 | Ś | 350,000,00 | Š | | Ś | 350,000,00 | Ś | 250,000,00 |
| 13 | Administration (from Administrative Budget Page) | Ş | 250,000.00 | \$ | 289,956.37 | \$ | 250,000.00 | * | 332,328.05 | Ş | 250,000.00 | Ş | 250,000.00 |
| | Cooperative Projects | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| 14 | Eden Prairie Bank Stabilization -Area #3 | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | 57,996.40 | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | 57,996.40 | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | 250,000.00 |
| 16 | Gully Erosion Contingency | \$ | - | \$ | 4,395.65 | \$ | - | \$ 5 | 4,395.65 | \$ | 4,395.65 | \$ | - |
| 17 18 | USGS Ravine Stabilization at Seminary Fen in Chaska | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 19 | Riley Creek Cooperative Project with RPBCWD | \$ | | s | 150,000,00 | \$ | - | 5 | 150,000.00 | \$ | 150,000.00 | \$ | - |
| 20 | Seminary Fen Ravine Restoration site A | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | 75,000.00 | 7 | |
| 21 | Seminary Fen Ravine C-2 | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | 5 | | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | - |
| | 509 Plan Budget | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Resource Plan Implementation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Watershed Resource Restoration Fund | \$ | - | | | \$ | 120,000.00 | | | | | \$ | 125,000.00 |
| 23 | Gully Inventory | \$ | - | \$ | 48,977.93 | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 24 | Minnesota River Corridor Management Project | \$ | 75,000.00 | 5 | 52,786.97 | \$ | - | 5 | 26,423.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 | | |
| 25 | TH 101 Ravine/Shakopee | \$ | - | \$ | 297.50 | \$ | - | s | | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | - |
| 26 | Assumption Creek Hydrology Restoration Project | \$ | - | \$ | 2,125.50 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,125.50 | \$ | 2,125.50 | \$ | - |
| 27 | Carver Creek restoration Project | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 28 | Groundwater Screening Tool Model | \$ | - | \$ | 952.00 | \$ | - | \$ \$ | 408.00 | \$ | 408.00 | \$ | - |
| 30 | Minnesota River Floodplain Model Feasibility Study Schroeder's Acres Park/Savage Fen Stormwater Management | \$ | | Š | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | |
| 32 | Downtown Shakopee Stormwater BMPs | \$ | | Ś | | \$ | 50,000.00 | 4 | | ۲ | _ | \$ | |
| 33 | PLOC Realignment/Wetland Restoration | \$ | 70,000.00 | \$ | 171,570.00 | \$ | 30,000.00 | Ś | | \$ | 70,000.00 | \$ | - |
| 34 | Spring Creek Project | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 8,742.36 | \$ | - | 5 | 432.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | - |
| 35 | West Chaska Creek Project | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 36 | Sustainable Lakes Management Plan (Trout Lakes) | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 37 | Geomorhpic Assessments (Trout Streams) | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | <u>-</u> | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 38 | Fen Stewardship Program | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 41,305.24 | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 6,876.29 | \$ | 25,000.00 | \$ | 25,000.00 |
| 39 40 | District Boundary Modification Project East Chaska Creek Bank Stabilization Project | \$ | - | 5 | | \$ | | \$ \$ | 77,176.21 | \$ | 60,000.00 | \$ | - |
| 41 | East Chaska Creek Water Quality Treatment Project | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | Ś | 77,170.21 | \$ | - | \$ | |
| 42 | Minnesota River Sediment Reduction Strategy | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 44 | Local Water Management Plan reviews | \$ | 15,000.00 | ş | 1,285.50 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 1,285.50 | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 45 | Project Reviews | \$ | 50,000.00 | 5 | 141,798.08 | \$ | 75,000.00 | 5 | 42,813.36 | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 46 | Monitoring | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 43,826.92 | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 12,838.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 47 | Watershed Management Plan | _ | | | | _ | | | | _ | | | |
| 48 | Next Generation Watershed Management Plan | \$ | - | s | | \$ | - | \$ \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 49 50 | Plan Clarification and proposed rules/Rule implementation Plan Amendment | \$ | 10,000.00 | 5 | 8,457.39 | \$ | | 5 | 1,526.54 | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | |
| 51 | Vegetation Management Standard/Plan | \$ | | ş | 0,707.33 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,520,54 | \$ | | \$ | - |
| 52 | Public Education/Citizen Advisory Committee/Outreach Program | \$ | 30,000.00 | \$ | 62,895.19 | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 27,272.70 | \$ | 75,000.00 | \$ | 85,000.00 |
| 53 | Cost Share Program | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | 7,149.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 5,543.50 | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| F | Nine Foot Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54 | Transfer from General Fund | \$ | - | s | | \$ | - | Ś | | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 55 | Dredge site operations | \$ | - | | | \$ | - | \$ | 102.00 | \$ | 102.00 | \$ | 240,000.00 |
| 56 | Dredge Site Restoration | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | 459,845.30 | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | | \$ | 240,000.00 | \$ | - |
| 57 | Total Non-adminsitrative Expenses: | \$ | 890,000.00 | \$ | 1,264,406.93 | \$ | 865,000.00 | \$ | 417,214.65 | \$ | 1,172,381.15 | \$ | 900,000.00 |
| - 3, | Total non-daminostrative Expenses. | Ť | 030,000.00 | * | 2,207,0000 | _ | 003,000.00 | | 121,022,100 | Ť | 1,172,001.13 | Ÿ | 300,000.00 |
| 58 | Total Administrative Expenses (from line 13) | \$ | 250,000.00 | 5 | 289,956.37 | \$ | 250,000.00 | 5 | 332,328.05 | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | 250,000.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | Total Expenses | \$ | 1,140,000.00 | S | 1,554,363.30 | \$ | 1,115,000.00 | \$ | 749,542.70 | \$ | 1,422,381.15 | \$ | 1,150,000.00 |
| \vdash | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | Revenue less Expenses | \$ | (140,000.00) | \$ | (604,941.88) | \$ | (115,000.00) | \$ | (94,300.08) | \$ | (417,345.15) | \$ | (100,000.00 |
| 61 | Beginning Fund Balance - January 1 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| 62 | Total Revenue | | | | | | \$1,000,000,00 | ***** | 655,242.62 | | | | |
| | Total Expenses | | | | (1,554,363.90) | | 1.115,000,00 | | 749,542,70 | | | ****** | (150,000.00 |
| 63 | | | | 8000m | (00 00 00000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | | | | ********* | **** |
| 63 64 | Ending Fund Balance - December 31 (bold figures are projected) | | | | | | (1,669,363.30) | | | | | | 1,769,363.30 |

2023 proposed LMRWD Budget for Administration Operations 2021 Adopted Budget/Actuals - 2022 Adopted Budget/YTD/Projected - 2023 Proposed

| Accou | nt | Ac | dopted 2021 | 2 | 2021 Actual | A | dopted 2022 | , | YTD 2022 | Pro | ojected 2022 | Proposed 2023 |
|-------|--|----|---------------------------------------|----|-------------|----|---------------------------------------|------|----------------|-----|--------------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | (Thi | rough 6/30/22) | | | |
| | xpenses: | ١. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Wages-General | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 66 | Severance Allowance | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 67 | Benefits | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 68 | PERA Expense | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 69 | Payroll Tax (FICA/Medicare) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 70 | Unemployment compensation | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 71 | Manager Per Diem | \$ | 11,250.00 | \$ | 7,375.00 | \$ | 11,250.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,250.00 | \$ 11,250.00 |
| 72 | Manager Expense (mileage/food/registrations) | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | 434.56 | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ 3,000.00 |
| | Data Management project (DRB Consulting) | \$ | - | \$ | 5,274.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| 73 | Telecommunications-Cell-Internet/Phone | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ 1,000.00 |
| 74 | Office Supplies | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | 352.38 | \$ | 300.00 | \$ | 86.75 | \$ | 300.00 | \$ 3,000.00 |
| 75 | Meeting Supplies/Expense | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 842.12 | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 100.00 | \$ 100.00 |
| 76 | Rent | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 8,450.00 | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 3,900.00 | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ 7,800.00 |
| 77 | Dues | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,500.00 | \$ 7,500.00 |
| 78 | Miscellaneous-General | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | 1,683.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | 748.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ 3,000.00 |
| 79 | Training & Education | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | 376.85 | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ 1,500.00 |
| 80 | Insurance & Bonds | \$ | 11,000.00 | \$ | 9,762.00 | \$ | 11,000.00 | \$ | 180.00 | \$ | 11,000.00 | \$ 11,000.00 |
| 81 | Postage | \$ | 375.00 | \$ | 2,171.00 | \$ | 375.00 | \$ | 18.00 | \$ | 375.00 | \$ 375.00 |
| 82 | Photocopying | \$ | 875.00 | \$ | 1,564.38 | \$ | 875.00 | \$ | 2.43 | \$ | 875.00 | \$ 875.00 |
| 83 | Legal Notices-General | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | 1,934.00 | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | 42.00 | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ 1,500.00 |
| 84 | Subscriptions & License Fees | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | 1,593.31 | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | 368.99 | \$ | 250.00 | \$ 250.00 |
| 85 | Mileage | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 516.48 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 162.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 86 | Taxable meal reimbursement | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 500.00 | \$ 500.00 |
| 87 | Lodging/ Staff Travel | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ 1,500.00 |
| 88 | Accounting/Financial Services | \$ | 5,382.00 | \$ | 5,410.00 | \$ | 5,580.00 | \$ | 2,719.00 | \$ | 5,382.00 | \$ 25,438.00 |
| 89 | Audit Fees | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | 15,265.00 | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ 27,548.00 |
| 90 | Professional Services-General | \$ | 120,168.00 | \$ | 133,275.00 | \$ | 104,970.00 | \$ | 33,750.00 | \$ | 120,168.00 | \$ 59,864.00 |
| 91 | Legal Fees-General | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 11,710.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ | 3,796.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 | \$ 10,000.00 |
| 92 | Engineering-General | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 101,969.42 | \$ | 35,000.00 | \$ | 41,511.16 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ 45,000.00 |
| 94 | Equipment-Maintenance | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | · | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 157.24 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ 500.00 |
| 95 | Equipment-Lease | \$ | 2,500.00 | _ | 2,017.20 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 840.50 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ 2,500.00 |
| 97 | Lobbying | \$ | 20,000.00 | _ | 20,000.04 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 10,000.02 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ 20,000.00 |
| ı | · - | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | <u> </u> | | | • |
| 98 1 | otal Expense for Administration: | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | 332,328.05 | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ | 98,282.09 | \$ | 250,000.00 | \$ 250,000.00 |

| Project fur | nding proposed in the 2022 Budget is taken from Table 4-1 Implementation Program Budget |
|-------------|--|
| found in Se | ection 4 of the LMRWD Watershed Management Plan. |
| Explanatio | ns for certain lines follow. |
| Line # | Cooperative Projects |
| | Cooperative Projects ate those projects that are intended to be completed by the LMRWD |
| | with other partners |
| 14 | Eden Prairie Bank Stabilization - Area #3 |
| | The LMRWD received a Clean Water grant (\$127, for this project under BWSR's Watershed |
| | Based Funding Program. The LMRWD allocated \$100,000 in 2022 for this project and |
| | \$250,000 in 2023 according to the implementation program in Section 4 of the LMRWD |
| | Watershed Management Plan (Plan) |
| | 509 Plan Budget |
| 22 | Watershed Resource Restoration Fund |
| | This fund implements Goals 2 and 3, which are to protect, improve and restore surface |
| | water and ground water quality within the District. This program will fund projects |
| | sponsored by LGUs and were not identified at the time the Plan was adopted. |
| | Since the preliminary approval of the 2022 budget in August, the Board accepted a request |
| | from the City of Burnsville to partner on the stabilization of a ravine along Willow Creek. |
| | \$75,000 of this line will be set aside for that project. A request has been received from |
| | Scott County to partner in the collaborative LIDAR collection project. In the end, the Board |
| | declined to participate in this project as other Metro-area counties are participating in the |
| | LIDAR project and did not ask for funds from the LMRWD to pay for the project. The |
| | LMRWD will be able to use the information that will result from the project. In 2023, the |
| | Plan has allocated \$125,000 to this fund. |
| 38 | Fen Stewardship Program |
| | This project is a partnership between the LMRWD, the MN DNR and the Metropolitan |
| | Council. The effort will develop a management plan to protect, preserve and possibly |
| | restore calcareous fens within the LMRWD. |
| 44 | Local Water Management Plan Reviews |
| | The LMRWD has not yet approved the Local Water Management Plans for Savage and |
| | Mendota. Mendota Heights is working on an update to its Plan. Some Cities' Bursnville and |
| | Savage Plans are in the process of being updated due to LMRWD rules, which required cities |
| | to amend their official controls to conform to the rules. |
| 45 | Project Reviews |
| | This item includes costs incurred by the LMRWD to review non-LMRWD projects in cities |
| | that have either opted to have the LMRWD review projects or have not yet received a |
| | Municipal permit. |
| | Eden Prairie and Chaska have opted to have the LMRWD review projects within the |
| | boundaries of the LMRWD. The LMRWD is also responsible for reviewing MNDOT, and MAC |
| | (Metropolitan Airport Commission) projects and for the unincorporated areas of the |
| | District. Burnsville and Savage intend to apply for a municipal permit, but permits have not |
| | been approved for these cities yet. In Shakopee and Bloomington, the LMRWD will |
| | continue to review project in the floodplain and High Value Resource areas. The LMRWD |
| | collects permit fees on private projects, but fees do not entirely offset the cost of reviews. |
| 46 | Monitoring |
| | The LMRWD continues to review of its monitoring program to evaluate whether monitoring |
| | is providing the information needed to manage resources within the District. The LMRWD |
| | will look at how data collected is being utilized. The MN DNR informed the LMRWD that it |
| | really is not assessing the data. |
| 47-51 | Watershed Management Plan Amendment |
| | Staff is planning an update to the Watershed Management Plan; primarily to update Table |
| | 4-1 Implementation Program Budget for 2018 – 2027 and the LMRWD rules. \$10,000 was |

07/20/2022 Page 1 of 2

2023 Budget Explanation of line items

| | included in the budget 2021 for amending the Plan. This amount should be enough to cover |
|----------------------|--|
| | any Plan Amendment and rules revision. This amount will be enough to cover cost related |
| | to the Plan in 2022. The plan process and rules revision should be completed in 2022 |
| 52 | Public Education/CAC/Outreach Program |
| | The 2023 projected costs the LMRWD plans to spend on public education include |
| | Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC)\$13,248.00 |
| | Master Water Steward Program\$2,500.00 |
| | Continue School & NGO Engagement\$13,248.00 |
| | Community Outreach & Engagement\$15,456.00 |
| | Cost Share Program and Training\$4,896.00 |
| | LMRWD website update/maintenance\$15,812.00 |
| | Freshwater Society "Ice Out/Loon In"\$800.00 |
| | MN River Boat Tour/engagement activity\$7,500.00 |
| | Sponsorship of Salt Symposium and Water Summit\$500.00 |
| | Social Media\$11,040.00 |
| | TOTAL:\$85,000.00 |
| | Nine Foot Channel |
| | |
| 54-56 | Transfer from General Fund |
| | The deficit that had built up in the Channel Fund was eliminated in 2019. All expenses |
| | incurred for managing dredge and maintenance of the dredge site are covered by the grant |
| | from the state of Minnesota. The transfer that was budgeted in 2020 was not necessary |
| | and a mid-year budget adjustment was done in August 2020 to reassign the money |
| | allocated to a transfer to the Channel Fund. \$80,000 was reassigned to the Gully Inventory. |
| | 15% of LMRWD Administrative costs such as rent, copier lease, lobbying, etc. are charged to the Channel Fund. |
| | The Chamber Fund. |
| Line # | |
| Line # | Administrative Budget |
| Line # 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem |
| | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. |
| | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the |
| 71 77 88 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. |
| 71 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees |
| 71 77 88 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit |
| 71 77 88 | Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting |
| 71 77 88 | Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in |
| 71 77 88 89 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter |
| 71 77 88 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter Engineering |
| 71 77 88 89 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter Engineering This line has been increased to better reflect the actual cost of general engineering |
| 71 77 88 89 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter Engineering This line has been increased to better reflect the actual cost of general engineering expenses. Costs incurred by the District that are charged to this line include preparation for |
| 71 77 88 89 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting / Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter Engineering This line has been increased to better reflect the actual cost of general engineering expenses. Costs incurred by the District that are charged to this line include preparation for monthly board meeting, Board meeting attendance by technical and engineering staff. To |
| 71 77 88 89 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting /Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter Engineering This line has been increased to better reflect the actual cost of general engineering expenses. Costs incurred by the District that are charged to this line include preparation for monthly board meeting, Board meeting attendance by technical and engineering staff. To offset the increase to this line, line 86 was reduced. Line 86 is the line that administrative |
| 71 77 88 89 | Administrative Budget Manager Per Diem This amount is calculated for 5 Managers, using a per diem of \$125/meeting and 1.5 meetings per month per manager. Dues MAWD dues were included at \$7,500. Staff is recommending that the MAWD dues be included in the budget. Accounting / Financial Services The agreement for financial services with Carver County will expired at the end of 2021. Carver County no longer had the capability to provide financial services to the LMRWD. In 2022, the LMRWD retained the services of CLA (Clifton Larson Allen LLP). The amount in this line item reflects the amount in the Professional Services Agreement between the LMRWD and CLA. Audit Fees In 2021, Redpath and Company informed the LMRWD that it could not longer provide audit services for the LMRWD. The LMRWD retained the services of Global Portfolio Consulting to provide audit services. The amount shown in this line reflect the amount contained in the Engagement Letter Engineering This line has been increased to better reflect the actual cost of general engineering expenses. Costs incurred by the District that are charged to this line include preparation for monthly board meeting, Board meeting attendance by technical and engineering staff. To |

07/20/2022 Page 2 of 2